This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed December 17, 2009. Claims

80-85 are pending. Claim 80 has been amended.

Independent Claim 80 in part recites (i) a removable multilayer protective layer

consisting essentially of a polyethylene film and an adhesive layer (ii) adhered to a non-adhesive

finish layer (iii) applied to a substrate. Claim 80 has been amended to more clearly recite the

separate layers of the protective layer and their relationship to the finish layer.

Claims 80-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss in

view of the newly cited reference of Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 5,178,924; hereafter "Johnson").

Weiss discloses a single-layer film containing an adhesive that is extruded onto a paint

layer covering a substrate (Col. 14, 11. 55-57). Weiss fails to disclose a multilayer protective

layer having a separate adhesive layer having the recited adhesive, and Applicant respectfully

submits that the deficiencies of Weiss are not overcome by Johnson.

Recognizing that Weiss does not disclose all the limitations of the claimed protective

layer, Johnson is cited for such teaching. Johnson discloses a removable release liner for

preventing contamination of an adhesive layer and addresses the problem of release liners

detaching from tapes when stretched (Col. 1, 11.52-56). The Office relies on Johnson as

disclosing the recited ethylene acrylic acid adhesive layer ("Weiss does not disclose the specific

limitations of the protective layer. Johnson discloses a removable release layer made of

polyethylene (Col. 4, lines 55-60), and a second layer that would function as an adhesive made

of ethylene acrylic acid (Col. 4, lines 67 - Col. 5, lines 25; the friction layer adheres the release

layer to above layers of similar material when in a stacked position.")

4

Response to Office Action Mailed December 17, 2009

Attorney Docket No. 129843-1071

Ser. No. 10/620,711

As noted above the release liner of *Johnson* is adhered to an adhesive layer. The release

liner of Johnson is equated with the recited multilayer protective film. However, the recited

multilayer protective film is adhered to a non-adhesive finish layer; it is not adhered to an

adhesive layer. Said differently, the adhesive layer of *Johnson* is not a non-adhesive finish layer

(see Claim 80).

Combining the release liner and adhesive layer of *Johnson* with the film, paint and

substrate of Weiss would yield a film-release liner-adhesive-substrate structure that would render

Weiss inoperable. It would also leave an adhesive layer on the finish layer and not meet the

limitations of the pending claims. An alternative interpretation would be to consider *Johnson*'s

release liner is the finish layer, however, the finish layer is not removed and therefore does not

teach or suggest the recited product.

Reconsideration for allowance of independent Claim 80 and depending Claims 81-85 is

respectfully requested.

The required extension of time is hereby petitioned and payment of the fees will be made

by credit card at the time of filing. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any

deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP Deposit Account No. 07-

0153.

June 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP

Jan 1990 C. January J. Care.

Scott C. Sample

Registration No. 52,189

Telephone: 214.999.4712

Attorney for Applicant

5